arXiv:1608.00243v1 [math.CO] 31 Jul 2016

A DISTRIBUTION ON TRIPLES WITH MAXIMUM ENTROPY MARGINAL

SERGEY NORIN

Abstract. We construct an S_3-symmetric probability distribution on $\{(a,b,c) \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0} \,:\, a+b+c=n\}$ such that its marginal achieves the maximum entropy among all probability distributions on $\{0,1,\ldots,n\}$ with mean $n/3$. Existence of such a distribution verifies a conjecture of Kleinberg, Sawin and Speyer [5], which is motivated by the study of sum-free sets.

1. Introduction

Recent breakthrough by Croot, Lev and Pach [2] and the subsequent solution of the cap-set problem by Ellenberg and Gijswijt [3] led to a dramatic improvement of known upper bounds on the size of maximum sum-free sets in powers of finite groups. Blasiak, Church, Cohn, Grochow and Umans [1], and independently Alon and Naslund extended Ellenberg-Gijswijt result to multi-colored sum-free sets. Even more recently Kleinberg, Sawin, and Speyer [5] established upper bounds for the multi-colored version which are essentially tight. Let us state the main result of [5], which motivates our work.

Let p be a prime. A *tri-colored sum-free set in* $\mathbb{F}_p^n$ is a collection of triples $\{(x_i,y_i,z_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ of elements of $\mathbb{F}_p^n$ such that $x_i+y_j+z_k=0$ if and only if $i=j=k$. Kleinberg, Sawin, and Speyer establish an upper bound $m \leq e^{\gamma_p n}$ on the size of a tri-colored sum-free set in $\mathbb{F}_p^n$, where γ_p is as follows.

The *entropy* of a probability distribution μ on a finite set I is defined as

$$\eta(\mu) = \sum_{i \in I} \mu(i) \log \mu(i),$$

where we interpret $0log0$ as 0. Let $T = \{(a,b,c) \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0} \,:\, a+b+c = p-1\}$. Let π be an S_3-symmetric probability distribution on T, and let $\mu(\pi)$ be the marginal probability distribution of π on $[0,p-1]$[1] corresponding to the first coordinate. (As π is S_3-symmetric the choice of a coordinate is irrelevant.) Let γ_p be the maximum entropy of $\mu(\pi)$ over S_3-symmetric probability distributions π on T.

The author is supported by an NSERC grant 418520.

[1]We denote the set of consecutive integers $\{n, n+1, \ldots, n+k\}$ by $[n, n+k]$

Theorem 1 (Kleinberg, Sawin, and Speyer [5]). *All tri-colored sum-free sets in $\mathbb{F}_p^n$ has size at most $e^{\gamma_p n}$. Moreover, there exist tri-colored sum-free sets in $\mathbb{F}_p^n$ of size at least $e^{\gamma_p n - o(n)}$.*

Every marginal of a symmetric probability distribution on T has mean $(p-1)/3$. Therefore, γ_p is at most the maximum entropy of a probability distribution on $[0, p-1]$ with this mean. The main result of this paper verifies a conjecture of Kleinberg, Sawin, and Speyer [5] showing that the equality holds.

Theorem 2. *For every $n \geq 1$ There exists an S_3-symmetric probability distribution π on $\{(a,b,c) \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0} \ : \ a+b+c = n\}$ such that $\mu(\pi)$ achieves the maximum entropy among probability distributions on $[0,n]$ with mean $n/3$.*

In [5] While the definition of γ_p above already implies that it is a computable constant, Theorem 2 provides a much simpler description. As noted in [5], a direct calculation shows that if μ has the maximum entropy among probability distributions on $[0,n]$ with mean $n/3$ then

$$\mu(i) = \frac{\rho^i}{1 + \rho + \ldots + \rho^n} \tag{1}$$

where ρ be the unique positive real solution to the equation

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} i\rho^i = \frac{n}{3} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \rho^i. \tag{2}$$

Further, Theorem 2 confirms that the upper bound in Theorem 1 coincides with the bounds established for sum-free sets in [3] and three-colored sum-free sets in [1]. The value of γ_p is also of interest as it appears in the tight bound for the arithmetic triangle removal lemma of Fox and Lovász [4].

We construct a distribution π satisfying Theorem 2 explicitly. Examples of the distributions satisfying Theorem 2 for $n \leq 25$ are provided in [5]. Based on these examples and additional experimentation, we construct a simple S_3-symmetric function of $\{(a,b,c) \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0} : a+b+c = n\}$ with the marginal given by (1). This construction is presented in Section 2 along with the necessary notation. Unfortunately, the constructed function fails to be non-negative for $n \geq 28$. In Section 3 we modify via a sequence of "local" changes to establish Theorem 2 in full generality.

2. Notation and the first attempt

Fix positive integer n for the remainder of the paper. Let $T = \{(a,b,c) \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0} \ : \ a+b+c = n\}$. The probabilistic distributions we are interested in form a polytope in $\mathbb{R}^T$, and vectors in $\mathbb{R}^T$ will be the main object of study in the remainder of the paper. We use the convention

$\boldsymbol{v} = (v_{abc})_{(a,b,c)\in T}$, that is we denote by v_{abc} the component of vector v corresponding to a triple $(a,b,c) \in T$. Let $\{\boldsymbol{e}(a,b,c)\}_{(a,b,c)\in T}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^T$. We say that a vector $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^T$ is *symmetric* if $v_{i_1 i_2 i_3} = v_{i_{\sigma(1)} i_{\sigma(2)} i_{\sigma(3)}}$ for every permutation $\sigma \in S_3$. Let $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^T$ be the vector space of symmetric vectors. For $(i_1, i_2, i_3) \in T$ define

$$\boldsymbol{s}(i_1, i_2, i_3) = \sum_{\sigma\in S_3} \boldsymbol{e}(i_{\sigma(1)}, i_{\sigma(2)}, i_{\sigma(3)}).$$

The set $\{\boldsymbol{s}(a,b,c) \mid (a,b,c) \in T, a \le b \le c\}$ forms a convenient basis of W. For $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^T$ and $a \in [0,n]$ define

$$\mu_a(\boldsymbol{v}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-a} v_{ai(n-a-i)},$$

and let $\mu : \mathbb{R}^T \to \mathbb{R}^{[0,n]}$ be defined by

$$\mu(\boldsymbol{v}) = (\mu_0(\boldsymbol{v}), \mu_1(\boldsymbol{v}), \ldots, \mu_n(\boldsymbol{v})).$$

Not that importantly

$$\mu_i(\boldsymbol{s}(a,b,c)) = 2(\delta_{ia} + \delta_{ib} + \delta_{ic}), \tag{3}$$

for $i \in [0,n]$ and $(a,b,c) \in T$, where δ is the Kronecker delta.

Let ρ de defined by (2). Clearly, $\rho < 1$. Define

$$\boldsymbol{r} = (n, n\rho, \ldots, n\rho^n) \in \mathbb{R}^{[0,n]}.$$

We say that $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^T$ is *ρ-marginal* if $\mu(\boldsymbol{v}) = \boldsymbol{r}$. Let R denote the set of symmetric, ρ-marginal vectors in $\mathbb{R}^T$. Note that R is an affine space and $R = \boldsymbol{v} + (\mathrm{Ker}(\mu) \cap W)$ for any $\boldsymbol{v} \in R$.

It is easy to see that the next theorem is a reformulation of Theorem 3 using the introduced terminology. (Note that for convenience we scaled the target marginal by $n(1 + \rho + \ldots + \rho^n)$.)

Theorem 3. *There exists a non-negative vector $\boldsymbol{\pi} \in R$.*

We construct an explicit, albeit not particularly elegant vector $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ satisfying Theorem 3. As a first step in this section we construct an auxiliary vector $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in R$, which has a compact description and will be the starting point of the general construction. In Section 3 we finish the construction of $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ by defining a generating set of $\mathrm{Ker}(\mu)$ consisting of vectors with small support and adding an appropriate linear combination of these vectors to $\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

We now define $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. Let

$$\beta_{abc} = \rho^a - \rho^{n-a} + \rho^b - \rho^{n-b} + \rho^c - \rho^{n-c}, \tag{4}$$

for $(a,b,c) \in T$, $a,b,c \ge 1$, let

$$\beta_{a0(n-a)} = \sum_{i=1}^{a-1}(-\rho^i + \rho^{n-i}) + \frac{a-1}{2}\rho^a + \frac{n-a+1}{2}\rho^{n-a} \tag{5}$$

for $1 \le a \le n/2$, let

$$\beta_{00n} = n\rho^n, \tag{6}$$

and define the components of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ for the remaining triples in T by symmetry, so that $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is symmetric.

Lemma 4. *The vector $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is ρ-marginal.*

Proof. First, let us note that the identity (5) also holds for $n/2 < a \le n-1$. Indeed, for such i we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_{a0(n-a)} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-a-1} (-\rho^i + \rho^{n-i}) + \frac{n-a-1}{2}\rho^{n-a} + \frac{a+1}{2}\rho^a \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-a-1} (-\rho^i + \rho^{n-i}) + \sum_{i=n-a}^{a} (-\rho^i + \rho^{n-i}) + \frac{n-a-1}{2}\rho^{n-a} + \frac{a+1}{2}\rho^a \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{a-1}(-\rho^i + \rho^{n-i}) - \rho^a + \rho^{n-a} + \frac{n-a-1}{2}\rho^{n-a} + \frac{a+1}{2}\rho^a \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{a-1}(-\rho^i + \rho^{n-i}) + \frac{a-1}{2}\rho^a + \frac{n-a+1}{2}\rho^{n-a},
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Now we are ready to verify that $\mu_a(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = n\rho^a$ for every $a \in [0, n]$. We have $\mu_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = n\rho^n$ by (6). For $1 \le a \le n-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_a(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-a} \beta_{ai(n-a-i)} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-a-1} (\rho^a - \rho^{n-a} + \rho^i - \rho^{n-i} + \rho^{n-a-i} - \rho^{a+i}) \\
&\quad + 2\sum_{i=1}^{a-1}(-\rho^i + \rho^{n-i}) + (a-1)\rho^a + (n-a+1)\rho^{n-a} \\
&= (n-a-1)(\rho^a - \rho^{n-a}) + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n-a-1} (\rho^i - \rho^{n-i}) - 2\sum_{i=1}^{a-1}(\rho^i - \rho^{n-i}) \\
&\quad + (a-1)\rho^a + (n-a+1)\rho^{n-a} \\
&= (n-2)\rho^a + 2\rho^{n-a} + 2(\rho^a - \rho^{n-a}) = n\rho^a,
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_0(\boldsymbol{\beta}) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n} \beta_{0i(n-i)} \\ &= 2n\rho^n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(-\rho^j + \rho^{n-j}) + \frac{i-1}{2}\rho^i + \frac{n-i+1}{2}\rho^{n-i}\right) \\ &= 2n\rho^n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-(n-i-1) + (i-1) + i)\rho^i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}(3i-n)\rho^i = n + \sum_{i=0}^{n}(3i-n)\rho^i = n,\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality uses (2). □

Upon cursory examination $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ appears to be a promising candidate for a non-negative vector in R. In fact, $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the only vector in R for $n \leq 5$. In general, it is easy to see that $\beta_{abc} \geq 0$ for $a, b, c \geq 1$. Unfortunately, $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is non-negative only for $n \leq 27$, whereas $\beta_{0\lfloor n/2\rfloor\lceil n/2\rceil} < 0$ for $n \geq 28$. Thus we have to modify $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ by adding to it an appropriate vector in $\mathrm{Ker}(\mu)$. This is the goal of the next, somewhat technical section.

3. Flattening $\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

Given $(a, b, c) \in T$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $b \geq x$, $c \geq x+y$ we define a vector

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{m}^{x,y}(a,b,c) = &-\boldsymbol{s}(a,b,c) + \boldsymbol{s}(a+x, b-x, c) - \boldsymbol{s}(a+x+y, b-x, c-y) \\ &+ \boldsymbol{s}(a+x+y, b, c-x-y) - \boldsymbol{s}(a+x, b+y, c-x-y) + \boldsymbol{s}(a, b+y, c-y).\end{aligned}$$

Claim 5. *We have $\boldsymbol{m}^{x,y}(a,b,c) \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mu) \cap W$ for all $(a,b,c) \in T$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $b \geq x$, $c \geq x+y$.*

Proof. Clearly, $\boldsymbol{m}^{x,y}(a,b,c) \in W$. Therefore we only need to show that $\mu_i(\boldsymbol{m}^{x,y}(a,b,c)) = 0$ for every $i \in [0,n]$. This follows immediately from (3), as each Kronecker deltas δ_{ij} for $j \in \{a, a+x, a+x+y, b, b-x, b+y, c, c-y, c-x-y\}$ will appear the same number of times with positive and negative signs in the expansion of $\mu_i(\boldsymbol{m}^{x,y}(a,b,c))$ using (3). □

We think of vectors $\boldsymbol{m}^{x,y}(a,b,c)$ as directions, along which a vector in R can be shifted to obtain another vector in R differing from the original only in a few coordinates. In the remainder of the section we describe a collection of such shifts which transform $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ into a non-negative vector.

We will only use a subset of the vectors defined above of the following form. $\boldsymbol{m}(b \to a) = \boldsymbol{m}^{\lceil b/2 \rceil, a-b}(0, b, n-b)$ for $2 \le b < a \le n/2$. That is

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{m}(b \to a) = &-\boldsymbol{s}(0, b, n-b) + \boldsymbol{s}(\lceil b/2 \rceil, \lfloor b/2 \rfloor, n-b) \\ &- \boldsymbol{s}(a - \lfloor b/2 \rfloor, \lfloor b/2 \rfloor, n-a) + \boldsymbol{s}(a - \lfloor b/2 \rfloor, b, n-a-\lceil b/2 \rceil) \\ &- \boldsymbol{s}(\lceil b/2 \rceil, a, n-a-\lceil b/2 \rceil) + \boldsymbol{s}(0, a, n-a). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

We obtain $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ from $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ by adding to it a linear combination of vectors $\boldsymbol{m}(b \to a)$. The coefficients of these vectors, which we define next are chosen so that, in particular, $\pi_{0a(n-a)} = \pi_{0b(n-b)}$ for $2 \le a, b \le n-2$. (Hence we think of the construction of $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ as "flattening $\boldsymbol{\beta}$".) Denote $\beta_{0i(n-i)}$ by z_i for brevity, and let

$$c_b = \frac{2}{n+1-2b}\left(z_b - \frac{1}{n-1-2b}\sum_{i=b+1}^{n-b-1} z_i\right).$$

Let $c_{ba} = c_b$ for $2 \le b < a < n/2$, and let $c_{b(n/2)} = \frac{c_b}{2}$ for even n.

We are now ready to define $\boldsymbol{\pi}$:

$$\boxed{\boldsymbol{\pi} = \boldsymbol{\beta} + \sum_{2 \le b \le n/2-1} \sum_{b+1 \le a \le n/2} c_{ba}\boldsymbol{m}(b \to a)} \tag{8}$$

In the remainder of the section we show that $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ satisfies Theorem 3. It follows from Claim 5 that $\boldsymbol{\pi} \in R$. Thus it remains to show that $\pi_{abc} \ge 0$ for $(a, b, c) \in T$. This is accomplished in the following series of claims.

Claim 6. *For $2 \le a \le n/2$ we have*

$$\pi_{0a(n-a)} = \frac{n}{n-2}\left(1 - \rho^n - \rho^{n-1}/2\right) \ge 0 \tag{9}$$

Proof. We have $\pi_{00n} = n\rho^n$, $\pi_{01n} = n\rho^{n-1}/2$, and $\mu_0(\boldsymbol{\pi}) = n$, as $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ is ρ-marginal. Thus to establish (9) it suffices to verify that $\pi_{0an-a} = \pi_{0bn-b}$ for $2 \le a, b \le n-2$. Define

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\boldsymbol{i}} = \boldsymbol{\beta} + \sum_{i \le b \le n/2-1} \sum_{b+1 \le a \le n/2} c_{ba}\boldsymbol{m}(b \to a)$$

We will show by induction on $n/2 - b$ that

$$\pi^b_{0a(n-a)} = \frac{\sum_{i=b}^{n-b} z_i}{n+1-2b} \tag{10}$$

for every $b \le a \le n-b$. The identity (10) for $b = 2$ implies the claim.

The base case $b = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ is trivial. For the induction step and $b < a < n - b$

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^b_{0a(n-a)} &= \pi^{b+1}_{0a(n-a)} + c_b \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=b}^{n-b} z_i}{n-1-2b} + \frac{2}{n+1-2b}\left(z_b - \frac{1}{n-1-2b}\sum_{i=b+1}^{n-b-1} z_i\right) \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=b}^{n-b} z_i}{n+1-2b},\end{aligned}$$

as desired, as $z_b = z_{n-b}$. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^b_{0b(n-b)} &= \pi^{b+1}_{0b(n-b)} - \frac{n-1-2b}{2}c_b \\ &= z_b - \frac{n-1-2b}{n+1-2b}\left(z_b - \frac{1}{n-1-2b}\sum_{i=b+1}^{n-b-1} z_i\right) \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=b}^{n-b} z_i}{n+1-2b},\end{aligned}$$

finishing the proof of the identity in (10).

It remains to show that $\rho^n + \rho^{n-1}/2 \le 1$. Using (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{n(n+1)}{3}\left(\rho^n + \frac{\rho^{n-1}}{2}\right) &\le n\rho^n + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\rho^{n-1} \\ &\le \sum_{i=0^n} i r^i = \frac{n}{3}\sum_{i=0^n} r^i \le \frac{n(n+1)}{3},\end{aligned}$$

implying the desired inequality. □

Next we estimate c_b. Define $\Delta_b = z_b - z_{b+1}$. Direct calculation shows that

$$\Delta_b = \frac{1}{2}\left((b+1)\rho^b - b\rho^{b+1} + (n-b-1)\rho^{n-b} - (n-b)\rho^{n-b-1}\right) \tag{11}$$

Claim 7. *We have $\Delta_i \ge \Delta_{i+1}$ for $0 \le i \le n-1$.*

$$c_b \le \frac{\Delta_b}{2}$$

for $2 \le b \le n/2 - 1$.

Proof. For the first inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}2(\Delta_i - \Delta_{i+1}) &= (i+1)\rho^i - (2i+2)\rho^{i+1} + (i+1)\rho^{i+2} \\ &+ (n-i-1)\rho^{n-i-2} - 2(n-i-1)\rho^{n-i-1} + (n-i-1)\rho^{n-i} \\ &= (1-\rho)^2((i+1)\rho^i + (n-i-1)\rho^{n-i-2}) \ge 0.\end{aligned}$$

The above inequality implies $z_b - z_a \leq (b-a)\Delta_b$ for $b \leq a$. Assume that n is odd for simplicity. (The other case is analogous.) We have

$$c_b = \frac{2}{n+1-2b}\frac{1}{(n-1)/2-b}\sum_{i=b+1}^{(n-1)/2}(z_b - z_i)$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{((n+1)/2-b)((n-1)/2-b)}\sum_{i=b+1}^{n/2-1}(i-b)\Delta_b = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_b,$$

as desired. □

To upper bound Δ_b (and therefore by Claim 8 to upper bound c_b) we need a loose lower bound on ρ, established in the next claim.

Claim 8. *We have*

$$\rho \geq \frac{n}{n+3}. \tag{12}$$

Proof. Multiplying both sides of (2) by $(1-\rho)^2$, expanding and rearranging terms, we obtain

$$(2n+3)\rho^{n+1} - 2n\rho^{n+2} = (n+3)\rho - n.$$

As $\rho < 1$, the left side of the above identity is positive, and, therefore, so is the right side, implying the claim. □

Claim 9. *We have*

$$\Delta_b \leq \frac{1}{2}(\rho^b - \rho^{n-b}) \tag{13}$$

for $b \leq n/3$.

Proof. By (11) we have

$$2\Delta_b - \rho^b + \rho^{n-b} = (1-\rho)(b\rho^b - (n-b)\rho^{n-b}).$$

It remains to show that $\rho^{n-2b} \geq b/(n-b)$. Using (12) we obtain

$$\rho^{n-2b} \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{n/3+1}\right)^{n-2b}$$
$$\geq e^{-3(n-2b)/n} \geq e^{-(n-2b)/(n-b)} \geq 1 - \frac{n-2b}{n-b} = \frac{b}{n-b}.$$

□

The next claim finishes the proof of Theorem 3.

Claim 10. *We have* $\pi_{xyz} \geq 0$ *for* $(x,y,z) \in T$, $x,y,z \geq 1$.

Proof. Assume that $x \leq y \leq z$. Suppose that the component corresponding to (x,y,z) is negative in $\boldsymbol{m}(b \to a)$ for some $2 \leq b < a \leq n/2$. Direct examination of (7) shows that, if $z > n/2$, then

(N1): either $b \in \{2x, 2x+1\}$ and $a = x+y$, in which case $(x,y,z) = (\lfloor b/2 \rfloor, a - \lfloor b/2 \rfloor, n-a)$, or

(N2): $b \in \{2x, 2x-1\}$, $a = y$, in which case $(x, y, z) = (\lceil b/2 \rceil, a, n - a - \lceil b/2 \rceil)$,

If $z < n/2$ then

(N2): either $b \in \{2x, 2x-1\}$ and $a = y$, $(x, y, z) = (\lceil b/2 \rceil, a, n - a - \lceil b/2 \rceil)$ as before, or

(N3): $b \in \{2x, 2x-1\}$, $a = z$, in which case $(x, y, z) = (\lceil b/2 \rceil, n - a - \lceil b/2 \rceil, a)$.

If $z = n/2$ then any of the above cases can potentially occur, but in cases (N1) and (N3) the component of $\boldsymbol{m}(b \to a)$ corresponding to (x, y, z) is equal to $c_b/2$ rather than c_b.

Suppose first that $x < y < z$. By the above analysis, the total negative contribution to π_{xyz} of vectors $\boldsymbol{m}(b \to a)$ for $2 \le b < a \le n/2$ is at most $4 \max\{c_{2x}, c_{2x+1}, c_{2x-1}\} \le 2\Delta_x$, where the last inequality holds by Claim 8.

Note that

$$\beta_{xyz} = \rho^x - \rho^{n-x} + (\rho^y - \rho^{n-y}) + (\rho^z - \rho^{n-z}) > \rho^x - \rho^{n-x}, \tag{14}$$

as $x + y < n$, and, therefore, $\rho^y > \rho^{n-z}$ and $\rho^z > \rho^{n-y}$. As $x \le n/3$, by Claim 9 and (14) we have

$$\pi_{xyz} \ge \beta_{xyz} - 2\Delta_x \ge \beta_{xyz} - (\rho^x - \rho^{n-x}) \ge 0,$$

as desired.

Finally, suppose that $x \le y \le z$, and one of these inequalities is non-strict. Then a vector $\boldsymbol{m}(b \to a)$ can only contribute negatively to π_{xyz} if $x < y = z$ and $(x, y, z) = (\lceil b/2 \rceil, a, n - a - \lceil b/2 \rceil)$. Note that in this case the component of $\boldsymbol{m}(b \to a)$ corresponding to (x, y, z) is equal to $2c_b$, rather than c_b. Nevertheless, the bound established in the previous case holds. □

References

[1] Jonah Blasiak, Thomas Church, Henry Cohn, Joshua A. Grochow and Chris Umans. On cap sets and the group-theoretic approach to matrix multiplication, 2016; arXiv:1605.06702.

[2] Ernie Croot, Vsevolod Lev and Peter Pach. Progression-free sets in Z_4^n are exponentially small, 2016; arXiv:1605.01506.

[3] Jordan S. Ellenberg and Dion Gijswijt. On large subsets of F_q^n with no three-term arithmetic progression, 2016; arXiv:1605.09223.

[4] Jacob Fox and László Miklós Lovász. A tight bound for Green's arithmetic triangle removal lemma in vector spaces, 2016; arXiv:1606.01230.

[5] Robert Kleinberg, William F. Sawin and David E. Speyer. The Growth Rate of Tri-Colored Sum-Free Sets, 2016; arXiv:1607.00047.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McGill University